WTSC

WTSC Agenda Face to Face meeting November 6-7, 2001 Atlanta, GA

Host:

Cingular Wireless Mark Wood Office: (404) 236-5938 Wireless: (404) 401-7788 E-mail: mark.s.wood@cingular.com

Location:

Renaissance Hotel (Peachtree Room-Subject to change) 590 West Peachtree Street NW Atlanta, GA 30308

Tuesday, November 6 1-5 PM (Eastern Time)

1:00 PM - 1:45 PM Logistics Introductions Agenda review

Responsibility/Contributor Host - Mark Wood

Agenda add on item – Wireline to Wireless testing Gene Perez suggestion add a link to the NPAC website to direct wireline carriers to WTSC. Long discussion on portability and pooling mandates – we will follow up later in the meeting □ AI LNPA WG and NPAC letter to direct them to

News up to date/other industry meetings Co-Chairs - Mark and Jennifer GETS – IITC – questionnaire not complete – no response from Jim Turner yet NENA Committee 10/25 mtg face to face in December Wireless Pooling Task Force – meeting in conjunction with WNPO, having first face to face. Is there a timeline for Pooling WNPO update - issue about call forwarding to a ported number - tentative need to have us set up a test case – Ron W has wireline tests cases that support wireline call forwarding SOA not being time filled on a wireline port, 00000000 issue. Creating a risk statement. Type 1 trunks from SBC, soft launch support. Test NP of a MF type1. Jim Grasser is working on a document for this issue

Upcoming meeting info

San Diego meeting not yet contracted. January meeting to be held in Orlando, FL 1/8-9, WNPO and LNPA 7-10 all at the same place

1:45 - 2:15 PM

WTSC

Review test plan

Cost code 1 number not assigned, what is the reaction of the MSC to CC26? NP reserved for pooling – Ron W to write test case

Companies need to look at how they are going to support CC26

□ How do we address late comers to testing late 2002 and early 2003

2:30 PM - 3:00 PM

WTSC

Review Action Items

NPAC Region release schedule NE start 2/11/02 Volume impacts into the NPAC based on Pooling – flag impacts the database size – you may not be able to test Sunday function until 3.1 release

3:00 PM – 5:00 PM

 Review inter-carrier test guidelines
 Ron Whitson

 * Mark making changes on over projector to document

 5. Discussion - ICC process not established

 Interconnection agreements are separate – Testing and regular porting

 Licensed territory, how do the LRN's get routed, Toll routes need SLA

 15. Destination Point Code (DPC) for test switch

 16. Point Code, TT & SSN for LNP, CLASS, CNAM, LIDB, ISVM & SMS – Test Partners

 17. SOA, LTI, LSMS Override data established

911 Test case review

Jim Grasser

Jim to write new test cases to reflect these 911 cases, Steve Vanwambeck from AT&T Wireless to assist.

WTSC

Wednesday, November 7 8:30 AM – 12:00 PM (EasternTime)

8:30 AM – 10:00 AM Review inter-carrier test guidelines (continued) <u>I INTRODUCTION</u>

Within the current version of the Wireless Number Portability Subcommittee Inter-carrier Test Plan, there are numerous test cases of calls from ported numbers and to ported numbers. Usually, the type of service the number has been ported from and to is included. What has been overlooked from the beginning in this document is specifications showing whether the MIN that is assigned to the phone in the case of a port to wireless service is a "native" MIN or a "foreign" MIN.

II DISCUSSION

During inter-carrier testing, there will be numerous ports completed. Each time a directory number is ported to a wireless service provider, a new MIN must be assigned to the handset. In the production environment after 11/24/02, the MIN that is assigned to the handset may be a "native" MIN – one that the service provider has had for years and which has an NPA-NXX that has typically been a wireless code since it was opened. On the other hand, the service provider may assign a MIN that is part of a MIN Block that has been acquired from the MIN Block Identifier Administrator. These MINs will have NPA-NXXs that coincide with the NPA-NXXs of wireline directory numbers. It is the use of these "foreign" MINs that may cause problems in the network and in some data bases particularly in the cases when a customer is roaming and the visited system is not compliant with the MIN – MDN separation. There may be other cases, even on a home system that is fully compliant, where problems may occur which is why it is critical to include the specification of MIN – either "native" or "foreign" – within the test plan.

III CONCLUSION

WTSC

The Wireless Number Portability Subcommittee Inter-carrier Test Plan needs to be updated to include a specification of MIN for those cases where directory numbers are ported to wireless service. This could be something as simple as a one-line specification included with each appropriate test case that would say "Perform this test case with both "native" and "foreign" MINs" and the addition of a paragraph in an early section explaining the difference in MINs and why testing with both is important.

Letters to all carriers asking for participation in WTSC. Out of 60 letters sent 0 responses. Alpine PCS were contacted via mail by Gene Perez.

□ We are closing the letter issue

New attendees starting in December

Test schedule/update			WTSC	
(Review NP	AC release 3.1 sch	edule)		
Release 3.1		NPAC	Inter-carrier proposed	Revised
1.	Northeast	2/11	4/15	4/1
2.	Mid Atlantic	3/11	5/6 3.1 NPAC software	
3.	Southwest	3/25	5/27	
4.	West Coast	4/8	6/17	
5.	Western	4/22	2/11	
6.	South East	5/6	3/4 2.0 NPAC software	
7.	Mid West	5/20	3/25	

NPAC certification is required in each region prior to testing, once NPAC certified in NE you don't need to be certified in the other regions.

NPAC 3.1 Turn Up testing (NE) 11/26 – 2/8, (Super region) 12/26 – 3/8 Providers need to look at the MSA's and focus on a region to do testing Jennifer and Mark to create test date matrix for review at November conference call

10:00 AM - 11:00 AM

WTSC

Co-chairs

Pooling test cases > Team to put together contributions for number pooling test cases. Forward > all contributions to Mark/Jennifer. Sprint Wireline will put in > recommendation for "cause code 26" test cases. (Ron). >

> (AI)Providers to determine what number pooling cases should be
> submitted as I/C test cases outside of their own internal testing. (if
> any)

11:00 AM – 12:00 PM Open forum Wrap up/summary of new items Review next meeting dates

WTSC