WTSC - Monthly Meeting

August 7-8, 2001 Denver, CO

Host:

Owest Wireless

- Dan Moore
- Mark Dekker

Monday, August 6th 1-5 PM 1:00 PM – 1:15 PM

Responsibility/Contributor

Logistics

Host

Introductions

Overview – summary to date

Co-chairs

Mark Wood discussing GTT Test Overview.

Jerry Hill, would it be right to develop test cases based on how it works, not how it works internal to the company.

Standard routing - services how they are offered. If they are special, the test case may not work. We DON'T want to get in the routing. Call completion all we are worried about.

Do we need test cases for all 5 fields?

GTT Fields: DPC SSN

CNAM – We are doing this – Use Wireline test cases, change as appropriate

LIDB -? – Not an issue if you out source operator resources. – Use Test Case 4.4.4, 4.4.5 and 4.4.6 – Most LIDB queries done by operator services

CLASS – Not to be offered between wireless and wireline. Need to find out. ? – No test case needed (wireline only). Wireline will test wireless – Wireline ports using CLASS

ISVM – Don't know if carriers have this available. Probably with service portability (getting services from all different providers) – No test case needed (wireline only) – Wireline will test wireless – wireline ports using CLASS

*SMS – We are doing this – No test case needed (no inter-carrier SMS occurring today) – Standards are still new to vendors, carriers (PN-4411)

Ist: CNAM – Wireline has tested the CNAM, route calls accordingly. If you don't have an agreement with the company delivering the call, they will default deliver the call. See Diagram. 1A, 1B

WIRELESS question for the Larger Group: Do they use LIDB, CLASS, and ISVM?

Again, depends on whether each carrier wants to test these services.

Summarize:

Rewrite LIDB and SMS up to group discussion tomorrow.

Tuesday, August 7th 1-5 PM

1:00 PM – 1:15 PM Responsibility/Contributor
Logistics Host

Introductions

Overview – summary to date

Co-chairs

At September meeting, set schedule for 2002 meeting through May. Asking for volunteers. www.RCA-USA.org – set for the end of August. 1 ½ day meeting. RCA interested in coordinating testing and introducing their carriers to the LNP process.

Conference call a couple of weeks ago. No new information, we will be discussing the issues at this meeting.

Documentation review

1:15 PM - 3:00 PM

Section 4.0 – Standardize language

Teresa Patton

Section 4.0 Modifications

- 1. Updated/Simplified Test Descriptions & Titles
- 2. Attempted to identify which test are wireless to wireless and which are interspecies
- 3. Changed all NSP and OSP usage to the appropriate NLSP/OLSP and NNSP/ONSP
- 4. Removed Test Case on Page 20 No Title
- 5. Removed Test Case on Page 4.0.11 Notifications for Customer Information for an outgoing Single Line Port via WPR This is a duplicate for 4.0.9
- 6. Removed Test Case 4.0.12 Notifications for Customer Information for an outgoing Single Line Port via WPR with reject This is a duplicate of 4.0.10
- 7. Removed Test Case 4.0.15 Notifications for Customer Information for an outgoing Single Line Port using LSR/FOC This is a duplicate for 4.0.13
- 8. Removed Test Case 4.0.16 Notifications for Customer Information for an outgoing Single Line Port using LSR/FOC with reject This is a duplicate of 4.0.14

Additional Recommendations:

- 1. Recommend removing bullets and adding numbers to ease in identifying which step in the scenario is being addressed/discussed
- \square AI Co-Chairs renumber the documents.
- ☐ Let's blanket renumber also add letters for expected results
- If event happens out of sequence it does not affect the outcome of the test.
- 2. Recommend pulling out the non-ICP tests from this section

Questions:

- 1. Question Does the team feel there are enough provisioning tests in this document?
- 2. Question Does the term "ICP" apply to Wireline porting?
- 3. Question Does "Resolution Required" apply to Wireline porting?

Test Case review:

4.0.5 Remove Production Personnel from doc. Keep standard.

3:15 PM - 4:00 PM

Section 4.3 – clarify test cases ("roaming wireline test cases")

- □ What is the definition of LOCAL? Chose to remove.
- □ Adding the WIRELINE to WIRELINE test cases.

□ Add Test Matrix

Wording Changes

☐ 4.1.1-16 remove LOCAL

□ 4.2.1-8 remove LOCAL

□ 4.3.1-8 remove LOCAL

■ 4.3.9-16 move ROAMING to CALLED PARTY

Enhanced Services case scenario – 4.4 – *Review*

4:00 PM - 4:30 PM

Mark Wood

Adding test cases for 'ported from' scenarios

4:30 PM - 5:00 PM

Jim Grasser

Linking test cases together

Wednesday, August 8th 8:30 AM - 12:00 PM

8:30 AM - 9:30 AM

Mark Wood

Review of GTT subteam meeting and discussion

GTT Fields:

CNAM – We are doing this – Use Wireline test cases, change as appropriate

LIDB - ??? - Not an issue if you out source operator resources. - Use Test Case 4.4.4, 4.4.5 (include collect and 4.4.6 - Most LIDB queries done by operator services

CLASS – Not to be offered between wireless and wireline. Need to find out. – No test case needed (wireline only). Wireline will test wireless – Wireline ports using CLASS

ISVM-Don't know if carriers have this available. Probably with service portability (getting services from all different providers) – No test case needed (wireline only) – Wireline will test wireless – wireline ports using ISVM

*SMS – We are doing this – No test case needed (no inter-carrier SMS occurring today) – Standards are still new to vendors, carriers(PN-4411)

Ist: CNAM – Wireline has tested the CNAM, routes calls accordingly. If you don't have an agreement with the company delivering the call, they will default deliver the call. See Diagram. 1A, 1B

LIDB issue – similarity in how companies access

Revisions to documentation to be completed by 8/17/01. Need to get it pulled together by 8/24. Cut paste

9:45 AM - 11:30 AM

Begin test coordination discussion

ALL

- Open forum for all carriers to share testing strategy information –
 4 Companies have submitted their test markets
 Wireline does not want to test with Wireless until Wireless has test with Wireless
- What MSAs are you going to test in?
- When will you be ready to test?
- How long will you need to test per MSA?
- How many numbers/test cases are you going to use?

4 Companies have submitted their test markets

Wireline does not want to test with Wireless until Wireless has test with Wireless

Vendor issues pushing out the timeline.

Do we think we will be able to complete testing by end of May 2002? Consensus – NO.

This committee recommendation to change the testing timeline. Reality is a lot of companies are not meeting this timeline.

This committee needs to give a concrete recommendation to the WNPO on why we can't make these test dates.

Start date by MSA, where, when. Put together new timeline.

TESTING TIME LINE

Testing – Back office, Billing, Network – 2/01/4/01 NPAC Turnup Testing – 5/01-9/01 InterCarrier Testing – 10/01- 5/02 Deployment 6/02 – 8/02 Final adjustments – 9/02 – 11/24/02

11:30 AM - 12:00 PM

Co-chairs

Wrap up/summary of new items

October we plan on having the meeting minutes and the test summary in addition. How many carriers have started and what the testing results look like.

☐ AI - for all companies to send matrix for MSA to Mark and myself.

☐ AI - Jim's going to get us the MSA list

Review next meeting dates