WTSC - Minutes from 5/14/02 Face to Face Meeting

(and conf call on June 4)

Attendees:

Mark S. Wood Cingular Wireless

Steve Sanchez
Susan Sill
Janet Bishop
Ron Wuesthoff
Brian Starlin
Joe Charles

ATT Wireless
ATT Wireless
ATT Wireless
ATT Wireless
Cingular Wireless

Meredith Cummings Nextel

Nightfire Solutions Aimee Tang Nightfire Solutions Paula Russ Joe Gormlev **Qwest Wireless** John Weakley **Qwest Wireless** Ron Whitson Sprint Wireline Dave Alexander Sprint PCS Telecorp PCS Viresh Gulati U.S. Cellular Marlene Nolan Chris Joul Voicestream Verizon Wireless Chuck Dodsley

Issues discussed at end of meeting on 5/14/02

- *Minutes from WTSC meeting on 5/13/02 included in WNPO May minutes
- 1) Address requirements for port orders Sprint Wireline stated that when a port order is sent between wireline and wireless carriers (LSOG), they would need to have an address that is in that rate center (either a MTSO or a cell site address). Question is an address in the rate center necessary since a wireless customer will likely not live in the rate center of their NPA-NXX. Please have examples for the next meeting for discussion.
- 2) Name of test customer for Caller ID We discussed having a recognizable test name for calls to verfiy Caller ID is working properly (Example CINGTESTLNP1)
- 3) CALEA Testing question was asked if we should include CALEA in inter-carrier test plan. Carriers agreed that fell into internal testing and should not be added as a test plan. *In test plan version 1.5 list of products recommended for internal testing is listed under section 1.0.1
- 4) Voicemail to verify LNP testing. A recommendation was made by the group for carriers to leave customer information related to the port (TN, LRN, carrier, etc.) on the voicemail box. This will allow more window for test calls if a "live" person is not required to answer calls.
- 5) Minimum test #s What is the minimum amount of test numbers needed to perform inter-carrier testing? (How many needed for ICC portion, call completion portion, round robin testing). This was just to inform carriers to have enough test numbers so we do not have to do test cases on a one-by-one basis.
- 6) Controlled #s Suggestions for carriers to located/identify controlled or "non-ported" numbers that they will be using for testing.
- 7) From conf call 6/4 Should we have test cases for "traveling" customers Defined by Sprint PCS as customers roaming on their own network. We will determine at next meeting, but some felt this was more an internal decision and that "Roaming" test cases were generic enough to test this scenario.
- 8) From conf call 6/4 A discussion began about the appendices in the inter-carrier test plan. Some carriers stated that they needed to change wording/information of these appendices for internal reasons. The concern is that we will get too far away from these industry appendices and it will look more like each carrier will have their own document. We would prefer to stick to the industry documents (appendices) as much as possible.