



**WNPO DECISION/RECOMMENDATION MATRIX**  
**4/05/2004**

Item #	Date Logged	Recomm and Chg to Reqs	Submitted by Team	Major Topic	Decisions/Recommendations
0001	10/9/01	Yes		Time Stamp on SV Create	The WNPO decided that for an inter-species port (between wireless and wireline) the time stamp on an SV create sent to the NPAC must be set to zero. For wireless-to-wireless SV creates, specific times can be set. There are still some operational problems associated with the time stamps today, and they may be exacerbated with the introduction of wireless porting.
0002	10/9/01	Yes		Type 1 Trunk Conversion	Recommend that project management processes be put in place for Type 1 trunk conversions.
0003	12/10/01	Yes		BFR Contact Information	Sending the BFR form to the recipient contact information in the WNPO BFR Matrix or the LERG contact information guarantees that you have made the request for another service provider to support long-term Local Number Portability (LNP) and open <b>ALL</b> codes for porting within specified Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and the specified wireline switch CLLI (Common Language Location Identifier) codes. The intended recipient is responsible for opening the necessary codes for porting. It is the recipient's responsibility for ensuring that the contact information in the WNPO BFR Matrix and/or the LERG is correct.
0004	12/10/01	Yes		N-1 Carrier Methodology Clarification	The N-1 carrier (i.e. company) is responsible for performing the dip, not the N-1 switch. If there is a locally terminated call then the originating carrier needs to perform the dip, because they cannot be sure whether the tandem switch belongs to the N-1 carrier or the N carrier (terminating carrier). For all local terminations the originating carrier needs to perform the dip, however, for any calls going through an IXC the IXC must perform the dip. Following are examples that were discussed:  a) Wireless to a ported local wireless – the originating wireless carrier should perform the dip (unless they intend to default route and pay the terminating carrier to perform the dip for them). b) Wireless to a ported local wireline – the originating wireless carrier should perform the dip, since they cannot be sure whether a tandem switch belongs to a different carrier than the terminating switch (unless they intend to default route and pay the terminating carrier to perform the dip for them).
0005	1/7/02	Yes		BFR Requirements	The NRO 3 <sup>rd</sup> Report & Order, released on 12/28/01, clarified that BFRs (Bonafide Requests) are not needed within top 100 MSAs – all codes within the top 100 MSAs must be open for porting by 11/24/02. This applies to both wireline and wireless SPs.
0006	1/9/02	Yes		Sufficient Testing Prior to Turn-Up	Service providers must sufficiently test all equipment prior to turning it up in production. If service providers are unable to complete sufficient testing they should not turn up equipment that is not ready for production use.
0007	2/4/02	Yes		Database Query Priority	Number portability queries should be performed prior to HLR queries for call originations on a wireless MSC.
0008	3/10/03			DELETED	<b>Team consensus was to remove this issue.</b>

Item #	Date Logged	Recommended Chg to Reqs	Submitted by Team	Major Topic	Decisions/Recommendations
0009	3/4/02	Yes		Ensuring Timely Updates to Network Element Subsequent to NPAC Broadcasts	The appropriate network elements should be updated with the routing information broadcast from the NPAC SMS within 15 minutes of the receipt of the broadcast.
0010	3/4/02	Yes		No NPAC Porting Activities During the SP Maintenance Windows	NPAC porting activities should not be carried out during the service provider maintenance window timeframes AND service providers should start maintenance at the start of the window.
0011	3/4/02	Yes		NeuStar Application Process	At a minimum, NeuStar recommends that all SPs start the application process with NeuStar no later than July 1, 2002 to secure the necessary NeuStar resources in order to comply with the mandated dates. A carrier cannot begin participation in intercarrier testing until the application process is completed.
0012	4/8/02	Yes		Wireless Reseller Flows	The WNPO took a vote on 4/8/02 and decided that <b>Option B</b> (as described in a contribution from Sprint), an alternative wireless reseller flow, would be used instead of those documented in the Technical, Operational and Implementation Requirements document (Option A). The flows and narratives for Option B will be documented in upcoming WNPO meetings.
0013	4/9/02	Yes		FCC 3 <sup>rd</sup> Order on Reconsideration and NPRM (FF 02-73)	The issuance of the FCC 3 <sup>rd</sup> Order on Reconsideration and NPRM (FCC 02-73) in March 2002 has caused uncertainty within the wireless industry. The WNPO has agreed upon the assumptions below in an effort to minimize the uncertainty and effectively manage the implementation of WLNP and pooling. 1) Wireless service providers participating at the WNPO are agreeing to open all their codes within the Top 100 MSAs prior to 11/24/02 (without receiving a BFR), regardless of whether BFRs are required in the future. The original mandate specifies that BFRs must be submitted no less than nine months prior to implementation. 2) Wireless service providers participating at the WNPO will assume the Top 100 MSAs are those defined in the 3 <sup>rd</sup> NRO Report and Order – FCC 01-362 issued in December 2001 (including CMSAs). <b>Note:</b> Participating service providers are defined as those in attendance at the 4/8/02 WNPO meeting.
0014	4/23/02	Yes		Paging Codes	Paging Codes should not be marked as portable in the LERG. Refer to the Telcordia™ Routing Administration (TRA) Central Office Code Assignment Guidelines (COCAG) Forms Part 2 Job Aid for additional information.
0015	5/14/02	Yes		Staggered Approach to Opening Codes in the LERG & NPAC	The WNPO has published a schedule for opening codes in the LERG and the NPAC. It is recommended that this staggered schedule be followed by wireless carriers in order to manage workload for pooling and porting implementation.
0016	5/14/02	Yes		LRN Assignments	Wireless carriers should define their LRNs per switch, per LATA, per wireless point of interconnect (in the case of multiple points of interconnect to multiple LECs in the same LATA).
0017	5/14/02	Yes		Troubleshooting Contacts	Carriers should update their troubleshooting contact information on the NIIF (Network Interconnection & Interoperability Forum) website under <a href="http://www.atis.org">www.atis.org</a> .
0018	5/14/02	Yes		LSOG Version	Wireless and wireline carriers should support at least LSOG 5.0.
0019	6/10/02	Yes		Clearinghouse Maintenance Windows	Maintenance on all systems used exclusively for LNP should be scheduled to occur during the regular Service Provider Maintenance Window that occurs each Sunday morning.

Item #	Date Logged	Recommended Chg to Reqs	Submitted by Team	Major Topic	Decisions/Recommendations
0020	08/13/02	Yes		NPDI Field on LSR	In a wireline to wireless port, wireless service providers will always populate the NPDI field on the LSR with a value of "C".
0021	11/25/02	Yes		Permissive Dialing Periods	Due to the fact that wireless and wireline service providers will be sharing codes in the pooling/porting environment, extended Permissive Dialing Periods for wireless service providers can no longer be supported.
0022	11/25/02	No		Porting/Pooling and Telemarketing	In a pooling or porting environment, there will be a potential impact from telemarketers after November 24, 2002 on the wireless customer. As required by current law, it remains the responsibility of the Telemarketing Industry to ensure that wireless customers are not adversely impacted (see Rules and Regulations for Implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, CG Docket No. 02-278 and CC Docket No. 92-90).
0023	2/25/03	No		Vertical Services Database Updates	The recommendation is that all Service Providers analyze their internal processes by which the various databases are updated with their individual database provider to assess timing requirements and determine potential issues. This will be placed on the decision recommendation matrix.
0024	3/10/03	Yes		WICIS 2.0	Carriers will use ICP systems that are OBF WICIS 2.0 compliant for production on 11/24/2003. Letter from OBF dated 2/14/03 to industry.
0025	4/07/03	No		In-Vehicle Services	The process of porting a vehicle MDN is based on a formal arrangement between any and all impacted partners.
0026	7/10/03			10-Digit Trigger	As a reminder to wireless carriers: In your operating agreements with wireline trading partners make the 10-digit trigger functionality a default and to the extent that you are issuing an LSR for a third party provider, ensure the 10-digit trigger box on the LSR is checked.
0027	7/10/03			Retail Holiday Hours	If Service Providers [mutually] agree to do the Intercarrier Communication Process on holidays then by default the Service Providers agree to follow normal intervals for concurrence in order to complete the port.
0028	10/14/03		Wireless Workshop	Supplemental Type 2 Usage	<p>The OBF Wireless Workshop has learned that some implementations of the Wireless Intercarrier Communications Interface Specifications, (WICIS), may automatically kick off SOA/NPAC activity prior to the full customer validation process being completed. When a confirmed Port Response is sent for a Supplement Type 2 request, which only changes the Due Date or Time, prior to confirming the original port request or Supplement Type 3 (other), the SOA/NPAC activity may begin pre-maturely. We ask that the following recommendation be added to the WNPO Decision Matrix as an operational guideline to assist in limiting inadvertent ports.</p> <p>Recommendation Title: Limit the usage of a Supplement Type 2.</p> <p>A Supplement Type 2 should not be sent unless the NSP has received a confirmed response to the original port request or</p>

Item #	Date Logged	Recommended Chg to Reqs	Submitted by Team	Major Topic	Decisions/Recommendations
					<p>subsequent Supplement Type 3. If the original request or a Supplement Type 3 has not been confirmed, the only viable Resolution Required Response Type is RT="R" (Resolution Required), and the only valid RCODEs (Response Codes) would be:</p> <p>1M - Requested Due Date less than Published interval  1N - Due date and time can not be met  6E - Due date can't be met  6F - Due Time can't be met  1P - Other (<b>remarks must be DD/T specific</b>).</p> <p>A Supplement Type 3 should be utilized by the New Service Provider to convey any change in the requested Due Date &amp; Time, when they have not received a Confirmed Response to the original port request or Supplement Type 3.</p> <p>11-15 Update: This functionality is slated for the next WICIS version. However, there is no date available.</p>
29	12/8/03		FORT		<p><u>ICP process should be able to support porting 24 X7 and it is up to the trading partners to add additional restrictions.</u></p>
30	2/2/04		WNPO	<p><a href="#">NPA Splits (this was updated on 4/5/2004.)</a></p>	<p><a href="#">It is the recommendation of the OBF Wireless Committee (Issue 2570) that beginning at the start of permissive dialing the new service provider would initiate the port request using the new NPA/NXX. The old service provider must do the translation to the old NPA/NXX in their OSS if needed. Note: it is the responsibility of both providers, old and new, to manage the numbers during PDP ensuring that the TN is not reassigned in their systems during permissive dialing.</a></p> <p><a href="#">Note: Once NNPO has reviewed and provided feedback this document will be updated and reposted.</a></p>  <p>D:\NPA Splits1.doc</p>
31	2/2/04		WNPO	NPAC Port Prior to Confirmation	<p>Raise awareness within the industry that a positive response is required by the NSP before an activate is sent to the SOA. Ensure that all personnel are properly trained on the correct, agreed upon industry process.</p>
32	2/3/04		WNPO	Port Protection	<p><u>WNPO agreed to recommend (non-binding) that service providers utilize the</u></p>

Item #	Date Logged	Recommendation Chg to Reqs	Submitted by Team	Major Topic	Decisions/Recommendations
					<p><u>following method to remove port protection from customer accounts that had port protect in place:</u></p> <p><u>“Provide the customer with a password/pin number they can use to remove the port protection service from their account. The new service provider would then send the password/pin number in the WPR to the old service provider authorizing the removal of the port protection service and the port to the new service provider.”</u></p>
33	4/5/04		WNPO	Best Practices	 <p>D:\Best Practices (WNPO4-11).doc</p>