NPAC Release 3.1 Turn Up Test Case List

1. NAN	1. NANC 179 – TN Range notification					
Test Case #	Test Case Description	Req.	IIS Flow	Comments/Issues		
NANC 179-1	SOA - Old SP Personnel create a range of Inter-Service Provider subscription versions. Their Customer TN Range Notification Indicator is set to TRUE. New SP does not submit their create request. Initial Concurrence Window Expires. Final Concurrence Window Expires. – Success	1, 3, 4, 6, R4-8	B.5.1.2 B.5.1.6.4	subscriptionVersionRange ObjectCreation subscriptionVersionRange NewSP-CreateRequest		
				subscriptionVersionNewSP FinalCreateWindowExpirat ion		
NANC 179-2	SOA – New Service Provider Personnel create a range of Inter-Service Provider subscription versions. Their Customer TN Range Notification Indicator is set to TRUE. Old Service Provider Personnel does not submit their create request. Initial Concurrence Window Expires. Final Concurrence Window Expires. – Success	4, 5, 10	B.5.1.2, B.5.1.6.2 B.5.1.6.3	SubscriptionVersionRange OldSP-Concurrence Request subscriptionVersionOldSPF inalConcurrenceWindowEx piration		
NAMO	SOA – Service Provider Personnel create a range of Inter-Service Provider subscription versions. SPs have their Customer TN Range Notification Indicator set to the value they will use in production. Both Old and New Service Providers do their creates. NPAC SMS manages the notifications accordingly. – Success	2, 5, 10	B.5.1.2	subscriptionVersionObject Creation Regression Test Cases for Creating Subscription Versions for single TN: 8.1.2.1.1.1 8.1.2.1.1.16 8.1.2.1.1.18 8.1.2.1.1.30 8.1.2.1.1.31 NANC 201-1 NANC 201-5 NANC 201-5 NANC 201-9 Regression Test Cases for Creating Subscription Versions for ranges of TN: NANC 201-2 NANC 201-6 NANC 201-10		
NANC 179-3	SOA – Service Provider Personnel activate a range of 1000 Inter-Service Provider subscription versions. Their Customer TN Range Notification Indicator is set to TRUE. In the pre-requisite create process the range is submitted as two smaller ranges, each with unique DPC/SSN data but the TNs used in the ranges are contiguous and the SVIDs assigned by the NPAC SMS are contiguous. The activate request is submitted as one range. The activate request results in two notifications due to the unique DPC/SSN data used for each range in the create process. – Success	4, 7, 10	B.5.1.5, B.5.1.6	subscriptionVersionRange ObjectCreation subscriptionVersionRangeS tatusAttributeValueChange		
NANC 179-4	SOA – Service Provider Personnel activate a range of 200 SVs. Their Customer TN Range Notification Indicator is	4, 7, 10	B.5.1.6	subscriptionVersionRange ObjectCreation		

	TRUE I. d		1	T
	set to TRUE. In the pre-requisite SVcreate process the range is submitted as two smaller ranges. The TNs used in the ranges are contiguous and have the same feature data. The creates are submitted without any other activity in between to ensure that the SVIDs for the TNs in the ranges			subscriptionVersionRangeS tatusAttributeValueChange
	are contiguous. The activate request is submitted as one range. The activate request results in one notification because the TNs and SVIDs are both contiguous and all TNs in the range have the same feature data. – Success			
NANC 179-5	SOA – Service Provider Personnel activate a range of 500 SVs. Their Customer TN Range Notification Indicator is set to TRUE. In the prerequisite SV create process the range is submitted as two smaller ranges. The TNs used in the ranges are contiguous and have the same feature data but other create activities are submitted between the range create requests to ensure that the SVIDs for the TNs in the ranges are not contiguous. The activate request is submitted as one range. The activate request results in one notification containing a list of the SVIDs. – Success	4, 7, 10	B.5.1.6	subscriptionVersionRange ObjectCreation subscriptionVersionRangeS tatusAttributeValueChange
NANC 179-6	SOA – Service Provider Personnel activate a range of 100 SVs. Their Customer TN Range Notification Indicator set to TRUE. In the prerequisite SV create process the range is submitted as one range, all with the same feature data. One of the LSMS has a problem creating all the TNs and responds with a M-EVENT-REPORT containing a few of the TNs from the range that it failed to create. NPAC responds to the SP with multiple notifications Success	4, 7, 10	B.5.1.6	subscriptionVersionRange ObjectCreation subscriptionVersionRangeS tatusAttributeValueChange
	SOA – Service Provider Personnel modify a range of active SVs. Their Customer TN Range Notification Indicator is set to the value they will use in production. NPAC SMS manages notifications accordingly and all modifies are successful. – Success	4, 5, 6, 10	B.5.2.1	SubscriptionVersionStatus AttributeValueChange Regression Test Cases for Modify of active Subscription Versions: 8.1.2.2.1.23 8.1.2.2.1.30 8.1.2.2.1.31
	SOA – Service Provider Personnel modify a range of pending SVs. Their Customer TN Range Notification Indicator is set to the value they will use in production. NPAC SMS manages notifications accordingly and all modifies are successful. – Success	2, 5, 10	B.5.2.1	SubscriptionVersionAttribu teValueChange Regression Test Cases for Modify of pending Subscription Versions: 8.1.2.2.1.8 8.1.2.2.1.9 NANC 214-4
	SOA – Both Old and New Service Providers do their create for a range of TNs. Their Customer TN Range Notification Indicators set to the value they will use in production. The old Service Provider cancels the pending SVs. The Cancellation Initial Concurrence Window expires. The Cancellation Final Concurrence Window expires. NPAC SMS manages notifications accordingly. The status of the SVs is set to 'conflict'. – Success SOA – Service Provider Personnel perform an immediate	2, 4, 5,	B.5.4.1	subscriptionVersionRange NewSP- CancellationAcknowledge Regression Test Cases for Cancellation of Subscription Versions: 8.1.2.5.1.8 subscriptionVersionRange
	disconnect of a range of SVs. Their Customer TN Range Notification Indicator is set to the value they will use in	6, 10		DonorSP- CustomerDisconnectDate

	production. NPAC SMS manages notifications accordingly.			
	- Success			Regression Test Cases for Disconnect of Subscription Versions: 8.1.2.3.1.4 8.1.2.3.1.5 8.1.2.3.1.6
	SOA – Service Provider Personnel activate a single SV. Their Customer TN Range Notification Indicator is set to TRUE. Even though this is a single SV activation the NPAC SMS sends the notification in the "Range" format. – Success			SubscriptionVersionRange ObjectCreation Regression Test Cases for Activate of a single Subscription Versions: 8.1.2.4.1.1 8.1.2.4.1.2 8.1.2.4.1.3
	SOA – Service Provider Personnel cancel a range of SVs. Their Customer TN Range Notification is set as it will be in production. NPAC SMS manages notifications accordingly – Success	10		Regression Test Cases for Cancel of Subscription Versions: 8.1.2.5.1.1 8.1.2.5.1.7
NANC 179-7	SOA – Old Service Provider Personnel cancel a range of 50 Inter-Service Provider subscription versions after both Service Providers have initially concurred. Their Customer TN Range Notification Indicator is set to TRUE. In the prerequisite create process the range is submitted as two smaller ranges. The TNs used in the ranges are contiguous and have the same feature data. The range create requests are submitted without any other activity between the range create requests to ensure that the SVIDs for the TNs in the ranges are contiguous. The cancel request is submitted as one range. The cancel request results in one notification because the TNs and SVIDs are both contiguous and all TNs in the range have the same feature data. – Success	4, 6, 10	B.5.3.1 B.5.3.1.1	
NANC 179-8	SOA – New Service Provider Personnel cancel a range of 5000 Inter-Service Provider subscription versions for which the Old Service Provider has not yet concurred to. Their Customer TN Range Notification Indicator is set to TRUE. In the prerequisite create process the range is submitted as two smaller ranges. The TNs used in the ranges are contiguous and have the same feature data but other create activities are submitted between the range create requests to ensure that the SVIDs for the TNs in the ranges are not contiguous. The cancel request is submitted as one range. The cancel request results in one notification containing a list SVIDs. – Success	4, 6, 10	B.5.3.1 B.5.3.1.1	
NANC 179-9	SOA – Old Service Provider Personnel modify a range of 'pending', Inter-Service Provider subscription versions to change the authorization flag from TRUE to FALSE. Their Customer TN Range Notification Indicator is set to TRUE. In the prerequisite create process the range is submitted as two smaller ranges. The TNs used in the ranges are contiguous and have the same feature data. The range create requests are submitted without any other create activity between the range create requests to ensure that the SVIDs for the TNs in the ranges are contiguous. The	4, 5, 6, 10	B.5.2.3 or B.5.2.4	

	modify request is submitted as one range. The modify			
	request results in one notification because the TNs and			
	SVIDs are both contiguous and all TNs in the range have			
	the same feature data. – Success			
NANC	SOA – Old Service Provider Personnel modify a range of	4, 5, 6,	B.5.2.3	
179-10	'pending' Inter-Service Provider subscription versions to	10	or	
1,710	change the authorization flag from TRUE to FALSE. Their		B.5.2.4	
	Customer TN Range Notification Indicator is set to TRUE.		D.3.2.4	
	In the prerequisite create process the range is submitted as			
	two smaller ranges. The TNs used in the ranges are			
	contiguous and have the same feature data but other create			
	activities are submitted between the range create requests			
	to ensure that the SVIDs for the TNs in the ranges are not			
	contiguous. The modify request is submitted as one range.			
	The modify request results in one notifications containing a			
	list of the SVIDs. – Success			
NANC	SOA – Old Service Provider Personnel modify a range of	4, 5, 6,	B.5.2.3	
179-11	'conflict' subscription versions to change the authorization	10	or	
	flag from FALSE to TRUE. Their Customer TN Range		B.5.2.4	
	Notification Indicator is set to TRUE. In the prerequisite			
	create process the range is submitted as two smaller ranges.			
	The TNs used in the ranges are contiguous and have the			
	same feature data. The range create requests are submitted			
	without any other create activity between to ensure that the			
	SVIDs for the TNs in the ranges are contiguous. The			
	modify request is submitted as one range. The modify			
	request results in one notification because the TNs and			
	SVIDs are both contiguous and all TNs in the range have			
	the same feature data. – Success			
NANC	SOA – Old Service Provider Personnel modify a range of	4, 5, 6,	B.5.2.3	
179-12	'conflict' subscription versions to change the authorization	10	or	
	flag from FALSE to TRUE. Their Customer TN Range		B.5.2.4	
	Notification Indicator is set to TRUE. In the prerequisite			
	create process the range is submitted as two smaller ranges.			
	The TNs used in the ranges are contiguous and have the			
	same feature data but other create activities are submitted			
	between the range create requests to ensure that the SVIDs			
	for the TNs in the ranges are not contiguous. The modify			
	request is submitted as one range. The modify request			
	results in one notifications containing a list of the SVIDs. –			
	Success			
NANC	SOA – Current Service Provider Personnel perform an	4, 5, 6,	B5.4.1	
179-13			B5.4.1.1	
1/9-13	immediate disconnect for a range of 'active' subscription	10	D3.4.1.1	
	versions. Their Customer TN Range Notification Indicator			
	is set to TRUE. In the prerequisite create process the range			
	is submitted as two smaller ranges. The TNs used in the			
	ranges are contiguous and have the same feature data. The			
	range create requests are submitted without any other			
	activity between to ensure that the SVIDs for the TNs in			
	the ranges are contiguous. The disconnect request is			
	submitted as one range. The disconnect request results in			
	one notification because the TNs and SVIDs are both			
	contiguous and all TNs in the range have the same feature			
	data. – Success			
NANC	SOA – Current Service Provider Personnel disconnect a	4, 5, 6,	B5.4.1	
179-14	range of 'active' subscription versions. Their Customer TN	10	B5.4.1.1	
		1 1 0	DJ.T.I.I	İ

	Range Notification Indicator is set to TRUE. In the prerequisite create process the range is submitted as two smaller ranges. The TNs used in the ranges are contiguous and have the same feature data but other create activities are submitted between the range create requests to ensure that the SVIDs for the TNs in the ranges are not contiguous. The disconnect request is submitted as one range. The disconnect request results in one notification containing a list of the SVIDs. – Success SOA – Service Provider Personnel do a Port-To-Original			Regression Test Cases for
	for a range of ported TNs. Their Customer TN Range Notification Indicator is set to the value they will use in production. NPAC SMS manages notifications accordingly. – Success			PTO: 8.5.5 (Part of the NPA Split test cases)
	SOA – Service Provider Personnel create a Number Pool Block. Their Customer TN Range Notification Indicator is set to the value they will use in production. NPAC SMS manages notifications accordingly. – Success			Regression Test Cases for Create of a Number Pool Block: 4.1.1 7.14 (Part of the NPA Split test cases) 7.15 (Part of the NPA Split test cases)
	SOA – Service Provider Personnel delete a Number Pool Block. Their Customer TN Range Notification Indicator is set to the value they will use in production. NPAC SMS manages notifications accordingly. – Success			Regression Test Cases for Delete of a Number Pool Block: 7.25 (Part of the NPA Split test cases) 7.26 (Part of the NPA Split test cases)
	SOA – Service Provider Personnel port a range of TNs that are part of an active Number Pool Block. Their Customer TN Range Notification Indicator is set to the value they will use in production. NPAC SMS manages notifications accordingly. – Success			Regression Test Cases for porting TNs away from a Number Pool Block: 6.2.8
NANC 179-15	NPAC and SOA – NPAC Personnel do a mass update on several thousand SVs (around 5000) where more than 1000 of the SVs are contiguous and have the same feature data. The Service Provider has their Customer TN Range Notification Indicator to the value they will use in production. NPAC SMS manages notifications accordingly. – Success			
NANC 179-16	SOA – Service Provider has their Customer TN Range Notification Indicator set to the value they will use in production and recovers a mixture of SV notifications for ranges of TNs. – Success	2, 3, 8, 9, RR6- 29	B.7.2	
NANC 179-17	SOA – Service Provider has notifications queued. Service Provider aborts their SOA association. Service Provider changes their Customer TN Range Notification Indicator value from TRUE to FALSE and recovery is attempted. – Success			
NANC 179-18	SOA – Service Provider has notifications queued. Service Provider aborts their SOA association. Service Provider changes their Customer TN Range Notification Indicator value from FALSE to TRUE and recovery is attempted. – Success			
	SOA – Service Provider has notifications in excess of the			The following test cases are

NANC 179-19	max limit queued and attempts to recover them. – Success Group Test Case SOA – Service Providers set their Customer TN Range			available from the test cases of previous releases but are not currently marked for regression testing: ILL 79-3 ILL 79-5 ILL 79-6 NANC 48-5
1/9-19	Notification Indicator set to the value they will use in production and they perform a series of activities that would emulate a period of time in an actual production environment: – creates, activates, modifies, activate of Pooled Blocks, delete of Pooled Blocks, disconnects, port of a port, etc. NPAC SMS manages notifications accordingly. – Success			
2 NAN	NC 240 – No Cancellation of SVs Based on Expira	tion of T	7 Timer	
Test	Test Case Description	Req.	IIS Flow	Comments/Issues
Case #	Test case Description	req.	IIS I IOW	Comments/13sucs
NANC 240-1	SOA – Old Service Provider creates a single TN subscription version. New Service Provider does not send create. Timers (T1 & T2) expire. The NPAC Customer No New SP Concurrence Notification Indicator is set to TRUE for both the Old and New Service Providers. The Final Create Window Expiration notification is sent to both	1, 3, 5, 7, R4-8	B5.1.1, B.5.1.6.4	
NANG	Service Providers. The subscription version stays in 'pending' status for a tunable amount of time. Verify that subscription version status is changed to 'cancelled' after tunable amount of time. – Success	4.6	DC 1.1	
NANC 240-2	SOA – Old Service Provider creates a subscription version. New Service Provider does not send create. Timers (T1 & T2) expire. The NPAC Customer No New SP Concurrence Notification Indicator is set to FALSE for both the Old and New Service Providers. The Final Create Window Expiration notification is not sent to either Service Provider. The subscription version stays in 'pending' status for a tunable amount of time. – Success	4, 6, R4-8	B5.1.1, B.5.1.6.4	
NANC 240-3	SOA – Old Service Provider creates a subscription version. New Service Provider does not send create. Timers (T1 & T2) expire. The NPAC Customer No New SP Concurrence Notification Indicator is set to TRUE for the New Service Provider and to FALSE for the Old Service Provider. The Final Create Window Expiration notification is sent to the New Service Provider. The subscription version stays in 'pending' status for a tunable amount. – Success	1, 4, 6,	B5.1.1, B.5.1.6.4	
NANC 240-4	SOA – Old Service Provider creates a subscription version. New Service Provider does not send create. Timers (T1 & T2) expire. The NPAC Customer No New SP Concurrence Notification Indicator is set to FALSE for the New Service Provider and to TRUE for the Old Service Provider. The Final Create Window Expiration notification is sent to the Old Service Provider. The subscription version stays in 'pending' status for a tunable amount of time. – Success Old SP creates a subscription version with authorization	1, 4, 6, 7	B5.1.1, B.5.1.6.4	Need to have tunable for

240-5	flag set to FALSE, New SP does not send create, timers		B.5.1.6.4	'confllict' to 'cancelled' set
	(T1 & T2) expire. The NPAC Customer No New SP			to a small value.
	Concurrence Notification Indicator is set to TRUE for both			
	the Old and New SPs. The Final Create Window			
	Expiration notification is sent to both SPs and it contains			
	the cause code. The subscription version stays in 'conflict'			
	status. Verify that the SV status is changed to 'cancelled'			
	after tunable amount of time – Success			
NANC	SOA – Service Provider has the No New SP Concurrence	1,	B.7.2	
240-6	Notification Indicator set to TRUE. Service Provider	RR6-29		
	recovers Final Create Window Expiration notifications			
	during recovery. – Success			
NANC	SOA – Service Provider has the No New SP Concurrence	4,	B.7.2	
240-7	Notification Indicator set to FALSE. Service Provider does	RR6-29		
	not recover Final Create Window Expiration notifications			
	during recovery. – Success			

3. NANC 294 – Change Due Date Edit Functionality in the NPAC SMS for 7pm on Due Date Problems

Test	Test Case Description	Req.	IIS Flow	Comments/Issues
Case #				
NANC	SOA –Old Service Provider Personnel submit a	1	B.5.1.4	
294-1	subscription version Concurrence after 7:00PM EST (the			
	next day GMT but same day local time) using the same			
	due date (GMT) as used in the initial creation by the New			
	Service Provider. – Success			
NANC	SOA – Old Service Provider Personnel submit a	1	B.5.1.4	
294-2	subscription version Concurrence after 23:59PM (GMT			
	and local time) using the same due date (in GMT) as the			
	New Service Provider specified, which is a date and time			
	for yesterday. – Success			
NANC	SOA – New Service Provider Personnel submit a	1	B.5.1.3	
294-3	subscription version Create after 7:00PM EST (the next			
	day GMT but same day local time) using the same due			
	date (in GMT) as used in the initial creation by the Old			
	Service Provider – Success			
NANC	SOA – New Service Provider Personnel submit a	1	B.5.1.3	
294-4	subscription version Concurrence after 23:59PM (GMT			
	and local time) using the same due date (in GMT) as the			
	Old Service Provider specified, which is a date and time			
	for yesterday. – Success			
NANC	SOA – Service Provider Personnel (Old or New) do the	1, R5-	B.5.1.3	
294-5	initial create of a subscription version after 7:00PM EST	18.3		
	where the due date is the current date in local time but the			
	next day in GMT. – Error			
A BTAR	IC 220 T			

4. NANC 328 – Tunable for Long and Short Business Days

Test	Test Case Description	Req.	IIS Flow	Comments/Issues
Case #				
NANC	NPAC and SOA – NPAC Personnel verify that the Long	1, 2, 3,	B.5.1.2	
328-1	Business Days tunable parameter is defaulted to Sunday	4	B.5.1.6.2	
	through Saturday. NPAC Personnel modify the Long			
	Business Days tunable parameter to a value that does not			
	include today. Both Old SP Port Out and New SP Port In			
	Timers are set to SHORT. New SP Personnel submit an SV			
	Create. Old SP does not concur. After a tunable amount of			
	time the Initial Concurrence Window timer has not expired			

	1.1 011001			
	and the Old SP has not received an OldSP-Concurrence			
214216	Request notification. – Success	1 2 2	D 5 1 1	
NANC	NPAC and SOA – NPAC Personnel verify that the Long	1, 2, 3,	B.5.1.1	
328-2	Business Days tunable parameter is defaulted to Sunday	4	B.5.1.6.5	
	through Saturday NPAC Personnel modify the Long			
	Business Days tunable parameter to a value that does not			
	include today. Both Old SP Port Out and New SP Port In			
	Timers are set to LONG. Old SP Personnel submit an SV			
	Create. New SP does not submit his create. After a tunable			
	amount of time the Initial Concurrence Window timer has			
	not expired and the New SP has not received a NewSP-			
	Create Request notification. – Success			
NANC	NPAC and SOA – NPAC Personnel verify that the Short	5, 6, 7,	N/A	
328-3	Business Days tunable parameter is defaulted to Monday	8		
	through Friday. NPAC Personnel set the Short Business			
	Days tunable parameter to a value that does not include			
	today. Both Old SP Port Out and New SP Port In Timers			
	are set to SHORT. Old SP Personnel submit an SV Create.			
	New SP does not submit his create. After a tunable amount			
	of time the Initial Concurrence Window timer has not			
	expired and the Old SP has not received an OldSP-Create			
	Request notification. – Success			
NANC	NPAC and SOA – NPAC Personnel verify that the Short	5, 6, 7,	N/A	
328-4	Business Days tunable parameter is defaulted to Monday	8		
	through Friday. NPAC Personnel set the Short Business			
	Days tunable parameter to a value that does not include			
	today. Both Old SP Port Out and New SP Port In Timers			
	are set to LONG. New SP Personnel submit an SV Create.			
	Old SP does not concur. After a tunable amount of time the			
	O TA DI ADED HOT CONCANTITION A TANADO A MINICANT OF THIS MIC			
	Initial Concurrence Window timer has not expired and the			
	Initial Concurrence Window timer has not expired and the Old SP has not received a OldSP-Create Request			
5 NAN	Initial Concurrence Window timer has not expired and the Old SP has not received a OldSP-Create Request notification. – Success			
	Initial Concurrence Window timer has not expired and the Old SP has not received a OldSP-Create Request notification. – Success C 329 – Prioritization for SOA Notifications	Dag	HS Flow	Commants/Issues
Test	Initial Concurrence Window timer has not expired and the Old SP has not received a OldSP-Create Request notification. – Success	Req.	IIS Flow	Comments/Issues
Test Case #	Initial Concurrence Window timer has not expired and the Old SP has not received a OldSP-Create Request notification. – Success C 329 – Prioritization for SOA Notifications Test Case Description	-	IIS Flow	Comments/Issues
Test Case # NANC	Initial Concurrence Window timer has not expired and the Old SP has not received a OldSP-Create Request notification. – Success C 329 – Prioritization for SOA Notifications Test Case Description NPAC and SOA – NPAC Personnel verify the 'SOA	1, 2, 3,	IIS Flow	Comments/Issues
Test Case #	Initial Concurrence Window timer has not expired and the Old SP has not received a OldSP-Create Request notification. – Success C 329 – Prioritization for SOA Notifications Test Case Description NPAC and SOA – NPAC Personnel verify the 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter default values for	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6,	IIS Flow	Comments/Issues
Test Case # NANC	Initial Concurrence Window timer has not expired and the Old SP has not received a OldSP-Create Request notification. – Success C 329 – Prioritization for SOA Notifications Test Case Description NPAC and SOA – NPAC Personnel verify the 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter default values for the Service Provider are set to MEDIUM. Service	1, 2, 3,	IIS Flow	Comments/Issues
Test Case # NANC	Initial Concurrence Window timer has not expired and the Old SP has not received a OldSP-Create Request notification. – Success C 329 – Prioritization for SOA Notifications Test Case Description NPAC and SOA – NPAC Personnel verify the 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter default values for the Service Provider are set to MEDIUM. Service Provider Personnel requests NPAC Personnel to modify	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6,	IIS Flow	Comments/Issues
Test Case # NANC	Initial Concurrence Window timer has not expired and the Old SP has not received a OldSP-Create Request notification. – Success C 329 – Prioritization for SOA Notifications Test Case Description NPAC and SOA – NPAC Personnel verify the 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter default values for the Service Provider are set to MEDIUM. Service Provider Personnel requests NPAC Personnel to modify several of his 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6,	IIS Flow	Comments/Issues
Test Case # NANC	Initial Concurrence Window timer has not expired and the Old SP has not received a OldSP-Create Request notification. – Success C 329 – Prioritization for SOA Notifications Test Case Description NPAC and SOA – NPAC Personnel verify the 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter default values for the Service Provider are set to MEDIUM. Service Provider Personnel requests NPAC Personnel to modify several of his 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter values to NONE then perform activities that	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6,	IIS Flow	Comments/Issues
Test Case # NANC	Initial Concurrence Window timer has not expired and the Old SP has not received a OldSP-Create Request notification. – Success C 329 – Prioritization for SOA Notifications Test Case Description NPAC and SOA – NPAC Personnel verify the 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter default values for the Service Provider are set to MEDIUM. Service Provider Personnel requests NPAC Personnel to modify several of his 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter values to NONE then perform activities that would normally result in the NPAC SMS generating the	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6,	IIS Flow	Comments/Issues
Test Case # NANC	Initial Concurrence Window timer has not expired and the Old SP has not received a OldSP-Create Request notification. – Success C 329 – Prioritization for SOA Notifications Test Case Description NPAC and SOA – NPAC Personnel verify the 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter default values for the Service Provider are set to MEDIUM. Service Provider Personnel requests NPAC Personnel to modify several of his 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter values to NONE then perform activities that would normally result in the NPAC SMS generating the notifications that have been given priorities of NONE.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6,	IIS Flow	Comments/Issues
Test Case # NANC	Initial Concurrence Window timer has not expired and the Old SP has not received a OldSP-Create Request notification. – Success C 329 – Prioritization for SOA Notifications Test Case Description NPAC and SOA – NPAC Personnel verify the 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter default values for the Service Provider are set to MEDIUM. Service Provider Personnel requests NPAC Personnel to modify several of his 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter values to NONE then perform activities that would normally result in the NPAC SMS generating the notifications that have been given priorities of NONE. Service Provider verifies that he does not receive	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6,	IIS Flow	Comments/Issues
Test Case # NANC 329-1	Initial Concurrence Window timer has not expired and the Old SP has not received a OldSP-Create Request notification. – Success C 329 – Prioritization for SOA Notifications Test Case Description NPAC and SOA – NPAC Personnel verify the 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter default values for the Service Provider are set to MEDIUM. Service Provider Personnel requests NPAC Personnel to modify several of his 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter values to NONE then perform activities that would normally result in the NPAC SMS generating the notifications that have been given priorities of NONE. Service Provider verifies that he does not receive notifications. – Success	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, R4-8	IIS Flow	Comments/Issues
Test Case # NANC 329-1	Initial Concurrence Window timer has not expired and the Old SP has not received a OldSP-Create Request notification. – Success C 329 – Prioritization for SOA Notifications Test Case Description NPAC and SOA – NPAC Personnel verify the 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter default values for the Service Provider are set to MEDIUM. Service Provider Personnel requests NPAC Personnel to modify several of his 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter values to NONE then perform activities that would normally result in the NPAC SMS generating the notifications that have been given priorities of NONE. Service Provider verifies that he does not receive notifications. – Success NPAC and SOA – Service Provider Personnel send a large	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6,	IIS Flow	Comments/Issues
Test Case # NANC 329-1	Initial Concurrence Window timer has not expired and the Old SP has not received a OldSP-Create Request notification. – Success C 329 – Prioritization for SOA Notifications Test Case Description NPAC and SOA – NPAC Personnel verify the 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter default values for the Service Provider are set to MEDIUM. Service Provider Personnel requests NPAC Personnel to modify several of his 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter values to NONE then perform activities that would normally result in the NPAC SMS generating the notifications that have been given priorities of NONE. Service Provider verifies that he does not receive notifications. – Success NPAC and SOA – Service Provider Personnel send a large number of requests to the NPAC that would result in the	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, R4-8	IIS Flow	Comments/Issues
Test Case # NANC 329-1	Initial Concurrence Window timer has not expired and the Old SP has not received a OldSP-Create Request notification. – Success C 329 – Prioritization for SOA Notifications Test Case Description NPAC and SOA – NPAC Personnel verify the 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter default values for the Service Provider are set to MEDIUM. Service Provider Personnel requests NPAC Personnel to modify several of his 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter values to NONE then perform activities that would normally result in the NPAC SMS generating the notifications that have been given priorities of NONE. Service Provider verifies that he does not receive notifications. – Success NPAC and SOA – Service Provider Personnel send a large number of requests to the NPAC that would result in the NPAC SMS generating notifications with multiple	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, R4-8	IIS Flow	Comments/Issues
Test Case # NANC 329-1	Initial Concurrence Window timer has not expired and the Old SP has not received a OldSP-Create Request notification. – Success C 329 – Prioritization for SOA Notifications Test Case Description NPAC and SOA – NPAC Personnel verify the 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter default values for the Service Provider are set to MEDIUM. Service Provider Personnel requests NPAC Personnel to modify several of his 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter values to NONE then perform activities that would normally result in the NPAC SMS generating the notifications that have been given priorities of NONE. Service Provider verifies that he does not receive notifications. – Success NPAC and SOA – Service Provider Personnel send a large number of requests to the NPAC that would result in the NPAC SMS generating notifications with multiple priorities for the Service Provider. Service Provider	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, R4-8	IIS Flow	Comments/Issues
Test Case # NANC 329-1	Initial Concurrence Window timer has not expired and the Old SP has not received a OldSP-Create Request notification. – Success C 329 – Prioritization for SOA Notifications Test Case Description NPAC and SOA – NPAC Personnel verify the 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter default values for the Service Provider are set to MEDIUM. Service Provider Personnel requests NPAC Personnel to modify several of his 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter values to NONE then perform activities that would normally result in the NPAC SMS generating the notifications that have been given priorities of NONE. Service Provider verifies that he does not receive notifications. – Success NPAC and SOA – Service Provider Personnel send a large number of requests to the NPAC that would result in the NPAC SMS generating notifications with multiple priorities for the Service Provider. Service Provider Personnel verify that they received the notifications in	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, R4-8	IIS Flow	Comments/Issues
Test Case # NANC 329-1	Initial Concurrence Window timer has not expired and the Old SP has not received a OldSP-Create Request notification. – Success C 329 – Prioritization for SOA Notifications Test Case Description NPAC and SOA – NPAC Personnel verify the 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter default values for the Service Provider are set to MEDIUM. Service Provider Personnel requests NPAC Personnel to modify several of his 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter values to NONE then perform activities that would normally result in the NPAC SMS generating the notifications that have been given priorities of NONE. Service Provider verifies that he does not receive notifications. – Success NPAC and SOA – Service Provider Personnel send a large number of requests to the NPAC that would result in the NPAC SMS generating notifications with multiple priorities for the Service Provider. Service Provider Personnel verify that they received the notifications in order of priority. This should cover notifications that have	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, R4-8	IIS Flow	Comments/Issues
Test Case # NANC 329-1	Initial Concurrence Window timer has not expired and the Old SP has not received a OldSP-Create Request notification. – Success C 329 – Prioritization for SOA Notifications Test Case Description NPAC and SOA – NPAC Personnel verify the 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter default values for the Service Provider are set to MEDIUM. Service Provider Personnel requests NPAC Personnel to modify several of his 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter values to NONE then perform activities that would normally result in the NPAC SMS generating the notifications that have been given priorities of NONE. Service Provider verifies that he does not receive notifications. – Success NPAC and SOA – Service Provider Personnel send a large number of requests to the NPAC that would result in the NPAC SMS generating notifications with multiple priorities for the Service Provider. Service Provider Personnel verify that they received the notifications in order of priority. This should cover notifications that have different priorities based on Old and New SP and all three	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, R4-8	IIS Flow	Comments/Issues
Test Case # NANC 329-1	Initial Concurrence Window timer has not expired and the Old SP has not received a OldSP-Create Request notification. – Success C 329 – Prioritization for SOA Notifications Test Case Description NPAC and SOA – NPAC Personnel verify the 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter default values for the Service Provider are set to MEDIUM. Service Provider Personnel requests NPAC Personnel to modify several of his 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter values to NONE then perform activities that would normally result in the NPAC SMS generating the notifications that have been given priorities of NONE. Service Provider verifies that he does not receive notifications. – Success NPAC and SOA – Service Provider Personnel send a large number of requests to the NPAC that would result in the NPAC SMS generating notifications with multiple priorities for the Service Provider. Service Provider Personnel verify that they received the notifications in order of priority. This should cover notifications that have different priorities based on Old and New SP and all three priority classifications. – Success	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, R4-8	IIS Flow	Comments/Issues
Test Case # NANC 329-1	Initial Concurrence Window timer has not expired and the Old SP has not received a OldSP-Create Request notification. – Success C 329 – Prioritization for SOA Notifications Test Case Description NPAC and SOA – NPAC Personnel verify the 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter default values for the Service Provider are set to MEDIUM. Service Provider Personnel requests NPAC Personnel to modify several of his 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter values to NONE then perform activities that would normally result in the NPAC SMS generating the notifications that have been given priorities of NONE. Service Provider verifies that he does not receive notifications. – Success NPAC and SOA – Service Provider Personnel send a large number of requests to the NPAC that would result in the NPAC SMS generating notifications with multiple priorities for the Service Provider. Service Provider Personnel verify that they received the notifications in order of priority. This should cover notifications that have different priorities based on Old and New SP and all three priority classifications. – Success NPAC and SOA – Service Provider Personnel send a large	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, R4-8	IIS Flow	Comments/Issues
Test Case # NANC 329-1	Initial Concurrence Window timer has not expired and the Old SP has not received a OldSP-Create Request notification. – Success C 329 – Prioritization for SOA Notifications Test Case Description NPAC and SOA – NPAC Personnel verify the 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter default values for the Service Provider are set to MEDIUM. Service Provider Personnel requests NPAC Personnel to modify several of his 'SOA Notification Priority' tunable parameter values to NONE then perform activities that would normally result in the NPAC SMS generating the notifications that have been given priorities of NONE. Service Provider verifies that he does not receive notifications. – Success NPAC and SOA – Service Provider Personnel send a large number of requests to the NPAC that would result in the NPAC SMS generating notifications with multiple priorities for the Service Provider. Service Provider Personnel verify that they received the notifications in order of priority. This should cover notifications that have different priorities based on Old and New SP and all three priority classifications. – Success	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, R4-8	IIS Flow	Comments/Issues

	priorities for the Service Provider. The Service Provider		
	then aborts their association before receiving the		
	notifications. After sufficient time has passed for the		
	NPAC SMS to generate all the notifications resulting from		
	the requests the Service Provider re-associates to the		
	NPAC and recovers the missed notifications. Service		
	Provider Personnel verify that they recovered the		
	notifications in order of priority and in the correct format.		
	- Success		
NANC	Group Test Case		
329-4	NPAC and SOA – Service Providers have NPAC		
	Personnel modify their notification priorities to ensure		
	that they all have notifications with the three priorities and		
	that the priority one SP gives a particular notification is		
	different that the priority given the same notification by		
	another SP. Each SP performs a series of activities that		
	will generate a good mixture of notifications. The SPs		
	verify that they receive the notifications according to the		
	priorities listed in their SP Profile. – Success		