

Greetings!

Notes from the PIM conference call held today 6/16/99.

Attendees:

Donna Navickas - Ameritech  
Charles Ryburn - SBC  
Dave Garner - Sprint  
Anne Cummins - AT&T  
Dave Cowgill - TTI/GST  
Brad Baxter - NEXTLINK

Discussions:

It was decided that document submitting LNPA WG members should remain the editors of their submittals. As comments/ suggestions to documents are agreed to by the PIM group, the agreed to language will be forwarded to the editor of the document for incorporation into that document.

PIM Scope:

Changes to Marine Hearn's proposed Scope language were suggested and a discussion followed on the Scope language. The Scope was not defined nor was any language settled on because of the unresolved issue that centers on the enforcement responsibility of the LNPA WG and PIM. What is the role of LNPA WG concerning the resolution of problems and the enforcement of proposed resolution? There is still no common agreement amongst the group on what is a dispute and what is a problem. The PIM group has asked Charles Ryburn LNPA WG Co-chair to approach NANC at the next meeting to get the NANC issued "Recommendation For LNP Problem Identification and Management" document. This document will assist the PIM group in defining the PIM Scope.

PIM Forms:

Dave Garner presented changes to the previously submitted forms. A few additional changes were discussed and added to the forms. It was decided that a text narrative should be included with the PIM forms to assist Problem Sponsors and Form submitters in the PIM process flow.

Cover Letter:

A few changes were suggested to the cover letter form.  
Change 1) Paragraph 3, second sentence change to: "(Name of sponsor) can be reached at ZZZZZZZZZZ and is available to attend/participate via conference call the discussion of this item by XXXX."  
Change 2) Paragraph 4, second sentence change to: " For this reason, I Would appreciate feedback from you on it's status by DDMMYY."

Dispute Resolution:

No one on the call had seen any supporting text for the NANC developed Dispute Resolution flow chart. It was asked that Bonnie Baca find it if available to the rest of the PIM group members.

It was suggested and placed in "parking lot" for the time being that the overall PIM process should have a process flow chart and supporting narrative. It is to be determined who will develop this item.

It was determined that a 2 month time line could be used to represent the availability of the PIM process when Charles Ryburn and Shelly Shaw

present their LNPA WG update to the next NANC meeting. The two months are broken into 1 month to develop the Scope of the PIM and 1 month to develop the PIM process and naratives.

It was also suggested that the issue of operational expense associated with The PIM process be addressed at the next NANC meeting.

Brad